9 (no as-built plans or drawings)

NEW YORK STATE DEC PETROLEUM BULK STORAGE (PBS) INSPECTION FORM

| DATE: | PBS#: | or 🗆 Unregistered | Inspection #: | | | | | |
|--|-------|--------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| FACILITY Representative, Name & Title: | | | | | | | | |
| NYSDEC Inspector, Name & Title: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Facility Name: | | Owner Name: | | | | | | |
| Facility Address: | | Owner Address: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Operator: | | Emergency Contact: | | | | | | |
| Phone Number: | | Phone Number: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Facility-Level Information (circle answer; indicate dispenser-specific information in comments section)

| 1. | Is the registration certificate posted at the facility? | Y / N | | | | | |
|----|--|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | Is registration information current & correct? | Y / N | | | | | |
| 3. | Are monitoring/observation wells marked and secured? | Y / N / X | | | | | |
| 4. | Have dispenser sumps been properly maintained? Y / N (accumulation of product) / 1 (accumulation of water/debris) / X (no sump) | | | | | | |
| 5. | For motor fuel tank systems with pressurized piping, are shear valves properly installed and operable? Y / N (no shear valve) / 1 (inoperative valve) / 2 (improperly installed) / X (not pressurized piping) | | | | | | |

Tank Registration Identification Number Underground or Aboveground Tank Product Stored / Tank Volume if different than registered Date Installed Is the tank properly **permanently closed**? 6. Y / N / X (active or temporarily out-of-service tank) Is the tank properly **temporarily closed**? 7. Y / N / X (active tank) Were any spills observed (also include suspected releases from leak detection 8. equipment and uninvestigated inventory discrepancies)? Y / N 9. Have tank top sumps been properly maintained? Y / N (accumulation of product) / 1 (accumulation of water/debris) / X (no sump) 10. Have fill port catch basins (spill buckets), been properly maintained? Y / N (accumulation of product) / 1 (accumulation of water/debris) / X (no catch basin) 11. Is the **fill port properly color coded** to identify the product in the tank? For products not explicitly listed in Part 613.3(b), is the tank properly marked? Y / N / 1 (incorrectly color coded or marked) / X (day tank) **Underground Storage Tanks** 12. For UST systems installed after Dec. 27, 1986, does the tank system meet standards? Y / X (tank system installed prior to Dec. 27, 1986) If not, how is the tank system deficient? 1 (tank not corrosion resistant) / 2 (no tank secondary containment) / 3 (no tank leak monitoring) / 4 (no overfill prevention) / 5 (piping not corrosion resistant) / 6 (no piping leak monitoring) / 7 (more than one check valve in suction piping system) / 8 (no tank label) /

| Underground Storage Tanks (continued) | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|---|
| 13. Is leak monitoring being done? X / N / 1 (inoperative system) / 2 (weekly leak detection records not | | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р |
| Y / N / I (inoperative system) / 2 (weekly leak detection records not maintained) / 3 (monthly operability records not maintained) / 4 (interstitial space on double-walled tanks and/or piping not monitored) / X (Category A or B tank system <u>or</u> exempt suction piping) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14. Is cathodic protection for steel tank and piping systems monitored annually? Y / N (no monitoring on either) / 1 (no monitoring on tank) / 2 (no monitoring on line) / 3 (records not maintained) / 4 (minimum protection not provided) / 5 (inadequate monitoring, i.e., not enough readings) / X (Category A or B steel tank system <u>or</u> not steel tank system) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15. Does the facility have adequate inventory records for metered tanks? Y / X (unmetered tank) If not, which items are deficient? 1 (no records) / 2 (no tank bottom water measurements) / 3 (equipment not capable of 1/8" measurement) / 4 (meter not calibrated) / 5 (no reconciliation of records) / 6 (improper reconciliation) / 7 (no investigation of discrepancy) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16. Do unmetered tanks have annual standpipe analysis or tank test, or other acceptable leak detection method? Y / N / X (metered tank) | | | | _ | | | | | | |
| 17. Has tightness testing been conducted on the tank and piping system (typically Category A or B) within the last 5 years? Y / N (no test on either tank or line) / 1 (no tank test) / 2 (no line test) / 3 (test report not submitted) / X (exempt from tightness testing) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aboveground Storage Tanks | | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 18. For <u>AST</u> systems installed after Dec. 27, 1986, does the tank system meet standards? Y / X (tank system installed prior to Dec. 27, 1986) If not, which items are deficient? (tank not welded steel) / 2 (no surface coating) / 3 (tank resting on soil; no cathodic protection) / 4 (tank on grade; no impermeable barrier) / 5 (no leak monitoring between tank & barrier) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19. Does the facility conduct monthly inspections for all ASTs? Y / N / 1 (records not maintained) | | | | | | | | | ļ | |
| 20. Does the facility conduct ten-year inspections for ASTs? Y / N / 1 (records not maintained) / X (not required per Part 613.6(b)) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21. For ASTs ≥10,000 gallons (or for ASTs <10,000 gallons where secondary containment is required), is the secondary containment adequately designed and in good condition? Y / N / 1 (secondary containment not maintained) / 2 (poor design) | | | | | | | | | | |
| For <u>ASTs <10,000 gallons</u> , if using alternatives to secondary containment , are SPOTS #17 issues addressed? Y / N / 3 (equipment not maintained) / X (not required) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22. Are dike drain valves <u>locked</u> in a <u>closed</u> position? Y / N (unlocked) / 1 (no valve on discharge pipe) / X (no dike/discharge pipe) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23. Does the AST have a gauge, high level alarm or other equivalent device? Y / N / 1 (inoperative) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24. Is the design/working capacity , and ID number marked on the tank and at the gauge? Y / N / 1 (tank not labeled) / 2 (not marked at gauge) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25. Is a solenoid or equivalent valve in place for gravity-fed motor fuel dispensers? Y / N / 1 (inoperative) / X (not motor fuel/gravity-fed) | | | | | | | - | | | |
| 26. Is a check valve in place for pump-filled tanks with remote fills? Y / N / 1 (inoperative) / X (not remote fill) | 1 | | | | F | | | | | |
| 27. Is an operating valve in place on every line with gravity head? Y / N / 1 (inoperative) / X (no gravity head on line) | | | | | | | | | | |

| Federal UST Questions – Release Prevention | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| 28. Is the spill prevention device (catch basin) present and functional? Y / N (not present) / 1 (not functional – holes or cracks present) / X (tank receives <25 gal. at one time) | | | |
| 29. Is the overfill prevention device (i.e., automatic shut-off, high-level alarm, ball float valve) present? Y / N / X (tank receives <25 gal. at one time) | | | |
| 30. Is the overfill prevention device <u>operational</u>? Y / X (tank receives <25 gal. at one time) If not operational: Automatic shut-off is not operational (i.e., device tampered with or inoperable; gauging stick in drop tube). High-level alarm is not operational. Alarm is not audible or visible to the delivery driver. Ball float is not operational because: Stage I vapor recovery is present. | | | |
| 5) Piping system is suction. 6) Drain valve on spill catch basin is broken or is impaired by debris, causing drain valve to act as an emergency vent. | | | |
| 31. Were structurally repaired tanks and piping tightness tested within 30 days of repair completion (not required w/ internal inspections after repair or if release detection equipment is in use)? Y / N / X (no structural repair) | | | |
| 32. If cathodically protected tank or piping was structurally repaired, were CP systems tested/inspected within 6 months of repair? Y / N / X (no CP system/structural repair) | | | |
| 33. Is buried metal tank and piping (including fittings, connections, etc.) protected from corrosion? Y / X (no buried metal components) If not: Buried metal piping components (such as swing joints, flex-connectors, etc.) are not isolated from the ground or cathodically protected. For new USTs (tanks and piping installed after 12/22/1988): Tank or piping does not meet new tank/piping standards for corrosion. For existing USTs (tanks and piping installed on or before 12/22/1988): Steel tank is not internally lined OR retrofitted with cathodic protection. | | | |
| 34. Was corrosion protection system tested within required time frame and does it provide continuous protection? Y / X (no CP system) If system does <u>not</u> provide continuous protection: CP system was not tested. CP system is not performing adequately based on results of testing. Operator is not conducting or has not completed appropriate repair in response to test results. | | | |
| 35. If an impressed current system is in use, has system been operated continuously? Y / X (no impressed current system) If system has <u>not</u> been operated continuously: Rectifier is not operational. Rectifier does not have electrical power 24/7. Clock shows that power has been turned off. | | | |
| 36. Is impressed current system inspected every 60 days? (Operator is only required to keep 6 months of readings; at least 2 of last 3 readings are required if system is operational at time of inspection.) Y / N / X (no impressed current system) | | | |
| 37. Do reports indicate that lined tanks are inspected periodically (within 10 years of installation and every 5 years thereafter) and that lining is in compliance? Y / N (no report) / 1 (lining was inspected and failed) / 2 (inspection procedure not acceptable) / X (tank not lined) | | | |

| Federal UST Questions – Release Detection (only complete applicable sections) | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Specify method(s) of tank release detection used: A. Automatic Tank Gauging (ATG) – answer questions 38-40, 56 B. Manual Tank Gauging (MTG) for tanks ≤1000 gal. – answer questions 41-43, 56 E. Groundwater or Vapor Monitoring – answer questions 47-50, 56 F. Interstitial Monitoring – answer questions 51-52, 56 H. Statistical Inventory Reconciliation (SIR) – answer questions 55, 56 | | | | | | | |
| Specify second method of pressurized piping release detection used: (<u>NOTE</u>: "G. Automatic Line Leak Detector [ALLD]" is <u>always</u> required for pressurized piping) – answer questions 53-54, 56 C. Tightness Testing – answer questions 44-46, 56 E. Groundwater or Vapor Monitoring – answer questions 47-50, 56 F. Interstitial Monitoring – answer questions 51-52, 56 H. Statistical Inventory Reconciliation (SIR) – answer questions 55, 56 | G | G | G | G | G | | |
| Specify method of suction piping release detection used: (<u>NOTE</u>: safe [European] suction piping does not require RD – mark "X") C. Tightness Testing – answer questions 44-46, 56 E. Groundwater or Vapor Monitoring – answer questions 47-50, 56 F. Interstitial Monitoring – answer questions 51-52, 56 H. Statistical Inventory Reconciliation (SIR) – answer questions 55, 56 X. Exempt Suction Piping | | | | | | | |
| A. Automatic Tank Gauging (ATG) | | | - | | | | |
| 38. Is ATG on National Work Group on Leak Detection Evaluations (NWGLDE) list? Y / N | | | | | | | |
| 39. Is ATG set up properly?Y / N / X (unable to confirm) | | | | | | | |
| 40. Did ATG conduct test while tank contained routinely highest level of product? Y / N | | | | | | | |
| B. Manual Tank Gauging (MTG) | | | | | | | |
| 41. Is tank size appropriate for using MTG (≤ 1000 gal. only)? Y / N | | | | | | | |
| 42. Do records indicate that MTG method is being conducted correctly? Y / N | | | | | | | |
| 43. Is MTG equipment capable of 1/8" measurement? Y / N | | | | | | | |
| C. Tightness Testing | VALID FOR PIPING ONLY | | | | | | |
| 44. Is tightness testing method on National Work Group on Leak Detection Evaluations (NWGLDE) list? Y / N | | | | | | | |
| 45. Is tightness testing conducted per manufacturer's instructions? (Compare test report with NWGLDE specifications for test method.) Y / N | | | | | | | |
| 46. Is tightness testing conducted within the specified time frames for the following equipment? Y / 2 (pressurized piping – not tested annually) / 3 (non-exempt suction piping – not tested every 3 years) | | | | | | | |
| D. Inventory Control – not valid as release detection for EPA as of 12/22/2008 | | | | | 1 | | |

| Federal UST Questions – Release Detection (continued) | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| E. Groundwater or Vapor Monitoring | Т | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р |
| 47. Does owner have site assessment report indicating location and number of vapor or groundwater monitoring wells? Y / N (<i>answer '1' for questions 48-50</i>) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 48. According to site assessment report, is groundwater always detectable in the monitoring well (i.e., never more than 20 feet from the ground surface)? Y / N / 1 (no report) / X (no groundwater monitoring wells) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 49. Is vapor monitoring well not affected by high groundwater? Y / N / 1 (no report) / X (no vapor monitoring wells) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 50. Are wells properly designed and positioned?Y / N / 1 (no report) | | | | | | | | | | |
| F. Interstitial Monitoring | | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р |
| 51. Does secondary containment have integrity? Y / N | | | | | | | | | | |
| 52. Is the sensor properly positioned (piping only)? Y / N / X (manual monitoring) | | | | | | | | | | |
| G. Automatic Line Leak Detector (ALLD) | G. Automatic Line Leak Detector (ALLD) | | | | | | | | | |
| 53. Is automatic line leak detector (ALLD) present and operational? Y / N (not present) / 1 (not operational) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 54. Has annual functionality test of the ALLD been conducted, and are records available? Y / N (no test conducted) / 1 (no records) | i4. Has annual functionality test of the ALLD been conducted, and are records available?Y / N (no test conducted) / 1 (no records) | | | | | | | | | |
| H. Statistical Inventory Reconciliation (SIR) | | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р |
| 55. Is SIR method on National Work Group on Leak Detection Evaluations (NWGLDE) list of release detection methods? Y / N | | | | | | | | | | |
| Federal UST Questions – Release Detection Monitoring | | | | | | | | | | |
| 56. Are tanks and piping monitored monthly for releases, and are records available (must have records for the two most recent consecutive months and for 8 of the last | Т | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р |

| 50. Are tanks and piping monitored moniniy for releases, and are records available | Т | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р | Т | Р |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| (must have records for the two most recent consecutive months and for 8 of the last | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 months)? | | | | | | - | | | | |
| Y / N (no release detection present) / 1 (no monthly monitoring) / | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 (no records) / 3 (inadequate records) / X (exempt suction piping) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Federal UST Questions – Closure

| 57. For tanks permanent | ly closed within the last 3 years, was site assessment | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| performed? | Y / N / 1 (inadequate) / X (not applicable) | | | |

COMMENTS (continue on separate paper if needed):

Regional notes or forms attached: _____ pages

| Refer to: | Spills (e.g., remediation system not operating) | Air (e.g., vapor recovery problems) |
|-----------|---|---|
| | Water (e.g., SPDES problems / illegal floor drains) | Solid & HazMat (e.g., used oil issues) |
| | COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS WAS AS FIELD OBSERVATION, RECORDS REVIEW, AND/OR INTER | SSESSED VIA THE FOLLOWING METHODS: VIEW WITH FACILITY REPRESENTATIVE |

This space reserved for regulatory citations.